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FOREWORD

Continuing instability in Somalia has increased concern that terrorists who seek to establish a foothold in Africa may use such insecure places as a safe haven and launching pad. Several attempts have been made to establish lawful governments in Somalia; however, warlord and clan interests have managed to take center stage among the population. The Somali-based al-Shabaab (also known as the Mujahidin Youth Movement [MYM]) is a militant organization born out of both successive regional turmoil and international salafi-jihadi ideology, which continues to actively undermine the United Nations (UN)-supported African Union (AU) peacekeeping force, the fledging Somali Transitional Federal Government (TFG), and all UN efforts to support Somalis in creating a stable state. Al-Shabaab’s ability to orchestrate a strategic communications campaign has resulted in support from both al-Qa’ida and Usama bin Laden, as well as funding and personnel recruitment from pockets of Somali diaspora throughout the world.

While U.S. administration officials deny direct U.S. support for AU forces, AU leadership claims the contrary, suggesting direct U.S. military support has been crucial to fighting al-Shabaab over the past few years.

Any direct effort the United States undertakes in favor of the TFG against al-Shabaab and its associated militias must involve a whole of government approach focused on the areas where al-Shabaab has demonstrated vulnerabilities. Such interagency action to leverage these vulnerabilities will certainly limit al-Shabaab’s strategic, operational, and tactical (SOT)
prowess more than military campaigns and objectives alone.

The authors of this monograph identify al-Shabaab SOT vulnerabilities organized according to four sources of national power: diplomatic, informational, military, and economic (DIME). After exploring the group’s inherent and apparent weaknesses, the authors then provide some suggestions on what efforts or capabilities may be leveraged in defeating and deterring the group. Such instruments do not have to be kinetic or military in nature, but can be diplomatic, economic, or persuasive. The authors concentrate on those diplomatic and informational options and do not address the military or economic implications at this time.
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Director
Strategic Studies Institute
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SUMMARY

The influence of Islam on governance and clan politics has both negatively and positively affected the people of Somalia. It has facilitated justifying the removal of national, regional, and grassroots or clan leadership, especially if socioeconomic conditions and quality of life standards have been degraded or the leadership failed to ensure the safety of the people. Additionally, charismatic clan leaders have leveraged the low level of education among the Somali population to incite leadership changes. On a positive note, enforcement of Islamic law (Sharia) has been a major factor in helping to stabilize the lawlessness that has gone unchecked throughout the ungoverned parts of Somalia. By establishing courts and increasing the appointment of judges, Islamic leaders have attempted to bring normalcy to people’s daily lives, amid all the street-level battles, clan intra and interconnected struggles for leadership and influence, and the presence of foreign fighters on both sides of the conflict.

The al-Shabaab Organization, also known as the Mujahidin Youth Movement (MYM), and its allies have been active opponents in undermining the United Nations (UN)-supported African Union (AU) peacekeeping forces, the fledging Somali Transitional Federal Government (TFG), and all the UN efforts to support the Somali people. Al-Shabaab’s use of the internet and control of the local news media has resulted in its ability to disseminate its story to the Somali public, to sympathizers throughout the world, and to the greater Islamic community. It is able to accomplish its strategic communication campaign through the use of organic websites, publication of online magazines and
newsletters, and with international press conferences and interviews.

If the last 2 years is an indicator of what may happen in Somalia, the United States needs to consider undertaking a more direct role in limiting the demise of the TFG, and extending itself to counter any advances that al-Shabaab may gain. However, as military leaders and policymakers have suggested, this effort to limit the advances of al-Shabaab must be focused and involve a whole of government approach. This whole of government approach must be focused on the areas where al-Shabaab has been shown to have vulnerabilities.

The authors of this monograph identify al-Shabaab’s strategic, operational, and tactical vulnerabilities organized according to four sources of national power: diplomatic, informational, military, and economic (DIME). After exploring the group’s inherent and apparent weaknesses, the authors then provide some suggestions on what efforts or capabilities may be leveraged in defeating and deterring the group. Such instruments do not have to be kinetic or military in nature, but can be diplomatic, economic, or persuasive. The authors concentrate on diplomatic and informational options and do not address the military or economic implications at this time.
INTRODUCTION

The disintegrating state of Somalia is located at the strategic crossroads of Africa and the Middle East, with one foot mired in clan warfare and intra-Horn of Africa’s politics, and another stretching across the Red Sea into an increasingly globalized salafi-jihadi and maritime struggle. The region is important to the United States for reasons of naval security and commerce, but also for the protection of troops stationed in Djibouti and continuing counterterror (CT) efforts in the Horn and Yemen. The U.S. Government, the Department of Defense (DoD), and the international community are devoting increasing resources to fighting extremism in the region, hoping to subdue a devastating trend of chaos caused by Islamic radicals. As our focus on the al-Qa’ida (AQ) affiliated al-Shabaab group continues, so must our focus on developing an increasingly wise toolbox with which to defeat its cause. Thus, policymakers must seriously assess al-Shabaab’s strengths and weaknesses to allow CT efforts in the region the upper hand in fighting an international terrorist ideology.

The people of Somalia live in a state of decay from day to day, not knowing if their lives will end. For the last 2 years, southern and central Somalia has been embroiled in a conflict ostensibly based on religion. It is a conflict intimately tied to notions of national liberation, clan loyalties, and admittedly varying interpretations of Islam. The aggressive nature of the conflict has resulted in thousands of deaths, the destruction
of sacred monuments, and brother-on-brother, clan-on-clan battles. The battle lines have been drawn in the sand. On one side, there is the Somali Transitional Federal Government (TFG) and its African Union (AU) and international supporters. On the other side, there is the the Mujahidin Youth Movement (MYM), also known as al-Shabaab, and its affiliated fighters and militias.¹

The battle between these two groups has been waged on the streets of Mogadishu, in the desolate towns and villages of central and southern Somalia, and through a sophisticated media and online front.² Al-Shabaab has gained increasing attention in the last year from friends and enemies within Somalia and the Horn of Africa, the Muslim world, and international counterterrorism experts. The group has been warmly praised by AQ and several of its regional affiliates in the past year, and rhetorical support from independent salafi-jihadis has demonstrated growing interest in the Somali theater. Indeed, the MYM’s increased popularity and resonance as it engages in battles on the ground and in the virtual world of jihadi web forums has been astonishing, especially considering the group’s relatively recent formation as an independent organization with minimal local guidance and financial support from al-Qa’ida Central (AQC).

However, careful analysis of the MYM’s strategy reveals multiple vulnerabilities that analysts should be able to counter with a strategic campaign. A synchronized effort by the United States, the AU, and TFG agencies may result in limiting the success that the MYM has enjoyed over the last several years. This monograph provides an assessment of vulnerabilities which, if aggressively attacked, could result in the reduction of the MYM’s manipulative ability.
BACKGROUND

Continuing instability in Somalia today has increased concern that terrorists who seek to establish a foothold in Africa will use insecure places as safe havens and launching pads. Several attempts have been made to establish lawful governments; however, warlord and clan interests have managed to take center stage among the population. Local leaders have been unwilling to subordinate themselves to a national-level form of government. This unwillingness and grassroots focus has resulted in the establishment of local militias, formed to protect the interests of clans and their leaders.

These militias have maintained order within their jurisdictional boundaries when threatened by external forces, when clan leaders wanted to enforce their decisions, or when emergencies required an organized force to assist in the relief effort. Following years of this sort of military arrangement, a group of leaders believed clan militias could not defend the interests of the Somali people; therefore, they formed an organization called the Union of Islamic Courts (UIC). The UIC is also referred to by others as the Islamic Courts Union (ICU).

The UIC/ICU’s intent was to provide a national-level decisionmaking organization, form a military, and start consolidating grassroots leadership into a Somali government. Unfortunately, key leaders disagreed on whether to adopt a nationalistic versus a hard-line Islamic focus. Those propagating the Islamic focus attracted the attention of the United States and several neighboring countries, due to their ties to AQ and involvement in the recruitment and training of
jihadist fighters for the struggles in Iraq, Pakistan, Afghanistan, and other locations where caliphates had been declared by Islamic fundamentalists. Subsequently, in 2006, Ethiopian armed forces crossed the border, dissolving the UIC and returning Somalia to the hands of local militias.

Ethiopian forces attempted to provide peace enforcement operations in Somalia; however, they faced opposition from groups which were now united in the determination to regain control of Somalia and establish an Islamic form of government. These groups would later form the basis for the establishment of the MYM and its allies, who will be described later in this paper. In 2007, the AU authorized the deployment of up to 8,500 troops to maintain order in Somalia and assist, guide, and provide security to the TFG for the establishment of its rule. Before we examine the vulnerabilities of the MYM, in order to provide a balanced assessment we will briefly examine the vulnerabilities of the TFG.

TFG Vulnerabilities.

The government of Somalia has demonstrated a willingness to reach out to various competing groups. However, its new and immature leadership, in combination with competing hard-line Islamic groups, continues to push it to the edge of failure. Specifically, the TFG is vulnerable because it remains unable to provide the people of Somalia a secure environment in which to live and work, unable to win the strategic communications war, and unable to develop and negotiate a long-term strategic national plan which would result in a peaceful nation.
ROLE OF ISLAM AND CLANS IN SOMALIA

Some of the parties who are trying to overthrow the government now are increasingly using Islam as a mechanism to increase popular support. To fully appreciate the Somali situation, it is important to understand the role Islam has taken in Somalia as both a populist response to perceived Western encroachment and as a type of political order to fill the governance gap that has persisted for nearly 2 decades.

First and foremost, it is important to note that Islam in Somalia was not always practiced with extremism. Mystical Sufi brotherhoods have long been prevalent in Somali Islam. Alongside a peaceful Islamic current, however, there has also been a more reactionary, extremist one. Socialism in the 1950s and 1960s diminished the role of Muslim leaders, and certain institutions traditionally controlled by religious scholars were transferred to secular authorities. Although the new government was professedly Islamic, its actions both disenfranchised and disenchanted some Somalis who followed the regional current of Islamic revivalism. The upswing in fundamentalism in the Middle East in the late 1970s and early 1980s also impacted Somalia, which was geographically close enough to the Arabian Peninsula to be influenced by certain Islamic fundamentalist teachings. Additionally, a xenophobic trend grew in tandem with an increasingly extremist religious trend. Distrust of outsiders and past persecution of Muslims by Westerners have long fueled anti-Western rhetoric in Somali mosques. Indeed, Western nations have intervened in Somalia since the British and Italians picked apart the country in the 19th century. Whereas mistrust of foreigners and their types of governance is commonplace, Islam offered and offers
an alternative framework for rule and law that is perceived by some to be more indigenous and authentic.

On a political level, it is important to note that Islam has filled a gap in Somalia for a very specific reason—the ICU and other Muslim social and political structures have offered services and structure where successive attempts at central governments have failed since the early 1990s. In the last 10 years ‘Ulema (Islamic scholars or religious leaders) have gained popular support both within the clans and among average Somalis. More recently, the possibility of a strict implementation of sharia (the all-encompassing legal order given by God and based on the Quran and the subsequent body of Islamic law) has become a central contention of Islamists seeking to gain control. Finally, it is also of note that some Islamic teachings encourage the removal of leaders if they fail to provide for the welfare of the community. This may explain both the confrontation between Islamic extremists and successive governments as well as the continuous infighting between the clans.

Clan Politics.

Intra- and inter-clan fighting in Somalia can be explained partially in terms of clan organizational structure and the multiple barriers inherent in the system which impede unity and contribute to instability in society. First of all, clan culture allows for the questioning of leaders at the tribal, regional, or national level. When leaders gain authority, they often have not developed means for the lowest members of the group to feel like valued members of the organization. Furthermore, many leaders have not instituted symbols to bind members and create loyalty within
the clan. In addition to the absence of such symbols or slogans, many leaders have also not used Islamic teachings to create an underlying doctrine to nurture followers and create synergy.\textsuperscript{4}

The lack of an educated and informed membership poses an impediment to clan unity while also facilitating the attractiveness of clan membership. Somali clans include a hodgepodge of youths and uneducated men and women from villages—often with no family or ethnic background in common. Whereas people who are tied ethnically tend to be more wary of fighting one another, the absence of blood ties means that intertribe conflict occurs more often. Additionally, lack of education plays a role in clan membership and instability. According to the UN Unite for Children website, only 22 percent of school-aged children attend school.\textsuperscript{5} This has profoundly impacted the literacy of the total population, which is slightly less than 38 percent.\textsuperscript{6} This lack of an educated public makes clan membership more desirable and attractive, since the only skills required are the ability to follow orders and carry a weapon.

The idea of sacrificing such uneducated, low-level clan members appears acceptable to many senior clan leaders. Some charismatic clan leaders may justify most intertribal fighting according to a need to gain power, while savvy Islamic clan leaders legitimize their fighting as a jihad, or holy war.\textsuperscript{7} According to the latter, Muslims have a duty to fight nonbelievers. This means that many low-level clan members are essentially expendable to the upper-level leadership.

Finally, clan leadership turnover may be a result of a lack of anything akin to a military’s “Non-Commissioned Officer” (NCO) Corps. An NCO Corps represents a form of middle-management which can provide
hands-on supervision to young low-level members who do not feel a symbiotic sense of allegiance to their leadership; this absence of an NCO corps allows for the possibility that another charismatic leader can garner support to help topple the leadership. Essentially, clan politics is a cycle of one leader following another promising to look after the members. When the leader fails to provide for the good of the clan, clan culture allows for the replacement of the leader. This cycle will continue to affect Somali society because leadership fragility and organizational flux is not conducive to attaining a long-term, negotiated peace.⁸

**Methodology.**

Methodologies for organizing information on insurgent groups are often hyperanalytical or far too technical, forcing intelligence or operational planners to disregard them as merely analytical tools rather than useful frameworks to inform decisionmaking. We endeavored to find a more basic design to optimize user-friendliness and encourage applicability in multiple future scenarios. Figure 1 depicts the MYM’s vulnerabilities, which were organized using the following four sources of national power for the purpose of this assessment: diplomatic, informational, military, and economic (DIME).⁹ Our next consideration was whether the vulnerability occurs at the strategic, operational, or tactical level (SOT) of war.¹⁰ To clarify our SOT analysis, we are defining “strategic” as when the TFG, the international community, or the MYM and its allies seek to enforce or influence security objectives and guidance, and develop and use national or international resources to achieve these objectives. Furthermore, we define “operational” as when cam-
Campaigns and major operations are planned, conducted, and sustained to achieve strategic objectives within Somalia and the Horn of Africa. Finally, we define “tactical” as where battles and engagements are planned and executed to achieve objectives assigned to tactical units or task forces. This is where the local community is influenced to provide or deny support.\textsuperscript{11}

Figure 1. Composite of al-Shabaab Vulnerabilities.

While intended to provide a lens into the power of nation-states, the DIME framework can be applied cautiously in the case of al-Shabaab, for while it is technically a nonstate actor, as it evolves from an organism to a more regimented organization, it in-
creasingly utilizes tools similar to those used by state organs, particularly in the military and informational domains. This is even further true as the organization continues to operate in a state of war against elements of a national government and international troops—causing it to counter many of their national structures.

Several additional caveats are in order here. This methodology is not intended to suggest a typology applicable to all militant structures, either in the Horn of Africa or elsewhere. Indeed, it must be noted that not every organization operates in all four vectors. In the aftermath of September 11, 2001, several explanations of salafi-jihadi Islamist movements have been put forward. Arguments for organizations like AQ as hierarchical, networks of franchise or, conversely, pockets of leaderless resistance have caused significant debate. In reality, salafi-jihadi actors exist in all three types of structures; none of these theories are mutually exclusive, although not all elements may be present in each one. For instance, in a self-starting group with no distinct leadership, the diplomatic and informational vectors may be virtually irrelevant in individual cells. Likewise, very little is known about the current organization of al-Shabaab, other than its relative decentralization, so the methodology applied here analyzes the main messages and actions coming from the official center, which does appear to operate within the DIME paradigm. This methodology is useful as a mechanism for visualizing certain vulnerabilities of radical groups, regardless of the groups’ level of centralization and irrespective of whether their cell structures are top-down or bottom-up.
MYM Vulnerabilities.

As previously mentioned, the current MYM organization and structure is difficult to know with any certainty. Estimates of local cell composition and strength, along with their concrete religious disposition, vary across scholars and analysts, with projections ranging from hundreds to thousands of members. Frequent MYM leadership changes, and challenges in pinning down the identity of personnel under pseudonyms, further complicates any detailed analysis.

The MYM’s current leadership hails from different backgrounds and nationalities, complicating the task of ascribing a singular strategy to disparate, decentralized operating groups. Some leaders have combat experience in Afghanistan or other theaters, and their rhetoric suggests they see jihad in Somalia as part of a coordinated global effort. Other leaders and collaborators are more focused on providing order so that Somalia and its people can emerge from poverty, the misrule of clan warlords, and turnstile transitional governments. Likewise, their individual adherence to various hardcore salafi-jihadi ideology remains in question. Figure 1 is a composite of the vulnerabilities identified, given the limited open source information on the MYM. The remainder of this monograph will provide a description of the vulnerabilities and recommended capabilities that can be applied to limit the MYM.

Goals.

For the purposes of this analysis, vulnerabilities are measured with respect to what we identify as the strategic goals of the MYM based on an extensive re-
view of their propaganda and literature. The objectives of the MYM’s grand strategy include expelling Ethiopians and foreign peacekeeping forces, delegitimizing and expelling the TFG of Sheikh Sharif Sheikh Ahmed, implementing a puritan form of sharia, and eventually establishing one contiguous Islamic emirate in Somalia. Judging by its propaganda, the group is keen on accepting as many fighters — local and international — as necessary to reach this goal. Indeed, estimates of foreign fighters operating in Somalia currently range from 280 to 450. At the same time, it should be noted that high-level agreement about more transnational aims, which largely coincide with AQC strategy, depends on individual leaders, and is most closely associated with those MYM figures who have direct contact with AQ. Given a basic understanding of the goals, we can now move on to the likely vulnerabilities.

**Diplomatic-Strategic Vulnerabilities.**

*MYM Strategy: Gain national and international support, relevance and legitimacy.* Both the MYM and the government of President Sharif have sought the support of the Somali people and neighboring countries. In their struggle to influence the public, the TFG has been endorsed and subsequently supported with financial aid and weapons by the UN, the AU, and the international community. This international legitimacy could limit the MYM’s ability to gain international support, acceptance, and ultimately relevance beyond the Somali terrorist context. Indeed, unless the TFG does something viewed as unethical or irresponsible, it will continue to be supported by international and AU governments regardless of its battle losses to the
al-Shabaab, making the MYM’s attainment of its strategic goals difficult.

Perhaps more important, Somali and external religious clerical groups have endorsed the TFG. The MYM has not been endorsed by recognized Somali Islamic leaders, in part because it has assassinated multiple leading clerics who disagree substantively with its use of violence. Even the leadership of the Hizbul-Islam (HI) alliance, with whom the MYM is loosely affiliated, only agrees on certain elements key to the MYM’s strategy.¹⁸

The TFG and international community must continue to seek clerical legitimacy and endorsement in order to gain the support of the local population, since the people tend to believe their religious leaders and often seek their guidance.¹⁹ It is difficult to determine how long the TFG will continue to receive aid and public support, especially if it shows limited results in its ability to provide basic services and protection to the public.

**Diplomatic—Operational Vulnerabilities.**

*MYM Strategy: Gain local provincial and clan support, relevance and legitimacy, particularly with respect to Islam.* As stated before, multiple Somali and external religious clerical groups have endorsed the TFG. Such groups furnish an important form of legitimacy in a war increasingly waged utilizing Islamic rhetoric. Since January 2009, the MYM’s alliance with the more politically pragmatic HI has not resulted in any endorsements by other moderate Islamic organizations within the country. While the MYM may not be actively seeking support from such organizations, this support would assist in gaining endorsement from
Somalis still unsure of whom to support. In addition, gaining popular support of moderates would increase MYM influence throughout Somalia and among the international community.

Somali moderate Islamic organizations and civic groups, such as the Ahlu Sunna Wal Jama (ASWJ), the Organization of Somali Religious Scholars, the Hawiye Cultural and Unity Council, the National Reconciliation Committee, and several civic and professional organizations, have actually endorsed the TFG and expressed their desire for peace. The MYM has, in fact, alienated these organizations and their members because of its commitment to violence and unwillingness to negotiate. Moreover, these and several other organizations have influenced their members and international supporters to align themselves with the TFG, hoping that international pressure will force the MYM to the negotiating table with the TFG.

The aforementioned organizations have criticized the MYM for its aversion to compromise with the TFG and its determination to seek Taliban-style sharia. That such organizations seek both peace and stability is in direct contrast to the violent path the MYM has adopted in realization of its goals. As long as the MYM rejects peace and continues to attack AU and TFG forces, these moderate groups will continue to reject MYM claims for leadership of the country.

Diplomatic-Tactical Vulnerabilities.

MYM Strategy: Promote the use of Islamic law, stability and security in order to garner further public support and emphasize ground campaign capability. The MYM’s rigorous enforcement in most areas of Taliban-style sharia has resulted in the loss of public support from
Somali and international groups and leaders. While the MYM’s enforcement of sharia has been recognized and supported by AQC leadership and their affiliates, it has also resulted in the TFG and neighboring countries using this against the MYM in the ongoing public information war. In some cases the group’s active propaganda message about this has backfired. For instance, when hardcore Islamic law was instituted and publicized in the southern border city of Kismayo, one of the first areas to come under official MYM control, the outcome resulted in considerable chafing on the part of the local population. The Islamic administrations set up from Baidoa have concentrated largely on service provision. Indeed, the propaganda from the summer suggests the MYM’s pragmatism as it moved from exploiting harsh sharia punishments to enumerating its services in favor of Somali security.

In one sense, the inconsistent application of sharia across MYM-controlled locales gives insight into the semi-fluidity of its strategy; it is proof that the group has proven itself flexible depending on the reaction of locals. At the same time, it brings up the more important issue that the MYM’s dispersed cellular structure and organization has not resulted in a synchronized propaganda or kinetic campaign. Analysis of its attacks on TFG and AU forces has shown that attacks seem to be uncoordinated between MYM units in central and southern Somalia. In addition, MYM’s military attacks look to be local, with no centralized command and control organization nor a continued reinforcement of forces after capture of key cities or resources. In fact, the holding of key terrain or parts of the country seems to be temporary, with no long-term strategy.
MEDIA STRATEGY AND OPERATIONS

Before discussing the MYM’s informational vulnerabilities, it is important to gain an understanding of the media strategy that the MYM is employing. The MYM understands the criticality of spreading the word of its jihad in Somalia to the greater worldwide Islamic community. This attracts foreign fighters or refugees seeking to return to Somalia, gains financial support, and also obtains greater worldwide media exposure. Without it, the likelihood of victory, especially against a government supported by the AU, the international community, and Somali moderate groups, will be limited. Thus, the MYM has taken a three-pronged approach to its media campaign:

1. Partnering with the Global Islamic Media Front (GIMF) and Establishment of MYM Websites: This medium serves as the base for dissemination of battlefront reports, recruitment videos, videos showcasing AU forces being attacked, pre-suicide bomber testimonies, and postings for both AQ and MYM senior leadership seeking public support and giving words of encouragement.

2. Development of an Online Magazine: In October 2008, MYM produced a new propaganda magazine, *Millat Ibrahim*, which was printed in Arabic, with articles focusing on a variety of topics, including battlefield tactics, influencing the people through media messages, religious justification to attack TFG and African Union Mission in Somalia (AMISOM) forces, and forming relationships with other jihadist organizations. Contributors included Somali academics, religious leaders, or other well-known individuals from the online jihadi forum discussions.
3. News Conferences, Interviews, and Online Chat Sessions with Senior MYM Leadership: Sheikh Mukhtar Robow, who was the former official spokesman for the MYM, has given multiple official interviews over Al-Jazeera and other Arab networks; however, other senior and mid-level MYM leaders have also taken advantage of the availability of news reporters in Somalia. Whether at press conferences or private interviews, since January 2009 MYM leaders have addressed the need for support in Somalia as well as the need to change TFG leadership by ousting President Shakykh Sharif Sheikh Ahmed. They have also praised AQC leadership and supporting media infrastructure for their role in publicizing the struggle in Somalia. Figure 2 depicts media strategy and propaganda of the MYM.

Figure 2. Media and Propaganda Strategies.
It is important to note here that the MYM’s media campaign depends on the GIMF for its reach into the outside world because of its inability to sustain its websites. MYM leadership has indicated its appreciation to the GIMF for assisting its propaganda efforts, and has acknowledged its technological shortfalls against Western efforts to block MYM website information dissemination.\(^{26}\) The MYM announced the creation of a new media arm on December 20, 2009, in order to confront “global challenges.”\(^{27}\) It may be expected that the MYM’s media bent will become increasingly international in the coming months.

Information-Strategic Vulnerabilities.

**MYM Strategy: Recruit and self-promote using information technology such as the internet and AQ-affiliated media conglomerates.** Despite its diplomatic shortcomings, the MYM has enjoyed the support of AQ-affiliated information websites and publicity affiliates in the last several years. Many of these are surprisingly high-tech and visually striking, perhaps making the TFG’s public pronouncements look amateurish in comparison.\(^{28}\) However, over the last year, MYM-hosted websites have been taken down or disrupted by allies of the TFG. When this occurs, the MYM has had to depend solely on AQ websites and their correspondents for dissemination of tactical victories, magazines, videos, and forum bulletin board announcements. Dependency on someone else’s website leaves the MYM vulnerable. Indeed, the fact that its last three websites have been disrupted points to its lack of skills in the cyber-warfare arena.\(^{29}\)
Information-Operational Vulnerabilities.

MYM Strategy: Indoctrinate followers with military and political objectives. In addition to being dependent on AQ-C websites for disseminating their information, the top leadership of the MYM seems to have varying strategic communication messages. Current MYM Emir Abu Zubayr has long placed Somalia at the center of AQ’s transnational project, imbuing it with both strategic and theological-historical importance.\(^3^0\) Other leaders have been less sweeping and historical in their statements. Longtime spokesman, Sheikh Mukhtar Robow spoke several times about the MYM’s independence from AQ and the need to consider national objectives first, before expanding beyond Somalia’s border. HI Leader Hassan al-Turki has also advocated a “Somalia first” strategy.\(^3^1\) Robow’s messages may have led to his removal in May 2009; however, there have been other instances where MYM’s top leaders have expressed differing military and political objectives.\(^3^2\) Current MYM spokesperson Ali Raghe has said Somalia must be fully liberated before contemplating international expansion.\(^3^3\) Indeed, given the inconsistency in statements, and the probable lack of consensus on what, if anything, to do beyond Somalia, MYM actions have taken an overwhelmingly domestic course thus far.

Information-Tactical Vulnerabilities.

MYM Strategy: Emphasize local victories to build morale and recruit further ideological adherents and/or foot soldiers. In the information operations war being waged on the internet, the MYM has published daily accounts of its tactical victories over the TFG and
AU. These can serve as words of encouragement to affiliated fighters. At the same time, despite claiming victories and destruction of TFG and AU resources, there does not seem to be a long-term sustainment or capitulation of these areas. 34 For example, a 2009 UN/AMISOM and MYM web release suggests that the MYM and the ASWJ have battled over cities in western Somalia, and MYM/Hi and TFG/AMISOM forces have fought over neighborhoods in Mogadishu only to hold them temporarily, until the other counteracted with a larger force, or MYM forces were withdrawn because they were needed elsewhere within Somalia.35 These MYM daily battle accounts could have a negative impact on recruitment of locals, since they imply the group would rather be violent than go to the peace table. Therefore, the MYM may unintentionally be labeled as uncooperative and always seeking military conflict. In addition, moderate and even hard-line Islamists may tire of this publicity with no tangible results or strategic outcomes.36

Military-Strategic Vulnerabilities.

MYM Strategy: Aggregate and recruit followers around certain military personalities. The MYM has showcased a number of leaders, each giving interviews, directing forces in the field, and developing their own followers. Yet, AQC propaganda and open source reporting suggests that these independent leaders may have their own agendas. The fact that AQC leadership has not formerly acknowledged anyone within the MYM as its military leader indicates that there is no single individual leading the MYM.37 This, in turn, points to the lack of a grand strategy and operational concept.38 While Sheikh Mukhtar Abu Zubayr is allegedly the
emir of the MYM, no public or published announce-
ments indicate that he provides strategic guidance or
political, military, or informational objectives to other
MYM senior leaders. In fact, in a military perspective,
he does not hold other MYM senior leaders account-
able in the sense of a true chain of command.

Military-Operational Vulnerabilities.

*MYM Strategy: Utilize fighters from anywhere as foot
soldiers in the MYM cause.* The MYM dependency on
foreign fighters may delegitimize its argument that So-
malis support its struggle against the TFG. Reporting
suggests that within the MYM military structure, both
leaders and foot soldiers originate from Middle East-
ern (i.e., Arabic-speaking countries,) as well as, from
English-speaking nations. Recently, in a recruitment
video, an American named Abu Mansur Al-Amriki
was featured leading a MYM unit. In addition, anti-
terrorist law enforcement officials have apprehended
Somali diaspora attempting to migrate back to Soma-
ia. When asked, they claimed that they were answer-
ing a call to join the jihad in Somalia.

Finally, AQC leadership, including senior mili-
tary leader Abu Yahya Al-Libi, has called on Muslims
worldwide to join the MYM in its struggle.39 This de-
dependency on foreign fighters may indicate local So-
malí youth are either rejecting or refusing to join the
MYM’s fight against the TFG.40 Indeed, experts sug-
gest the MYM is increasingly seen as a tool of foreign
extremists wreaking havoc (for example, the non-So-
mali tactic of suicide bombing) locally at the expense
of already downtrodden residents.41

Finally, the continuing struggle between the MYM
and the TFG has resulted in the deaths of MYM key
leaders and catalyzed the creation of rifts among MYM and HI senior leaders. For example, the deaths of key leaders Saleh Ali Nabhan and Aden Hashi Ayro⁴² and the removal or diminishing of authority of former al-Shabaab spokesperson Abu Mansur and HI figures Dr. Umar Iman Abubakar and Hassan Al-Turki may reveal disagreements on where both the MYM or the HI should be heading. While there is little institutional centralization, dependency on a core of leaders with military operational experience has left the MYM vulnerable to losing momentum. Without an effective training and replacement system, this makes it vulnerable to losing military engagements, as well as the information war with the TFG. Over the last 2 years, the MYM has lost leadership at the regional and operational levels. Since the MYM does not have a formal military advancement system, in-fighting between field commanders may occur as key leaders are killed. Admittedly, the group’s success often has more to do with the local milieu than with charismatic leadership. The movement is not personality-driven, and it is important to admit that leaders’ deaths provide propaganda material to the more ideological members, as well as to al-Qa’ida and its affiliates.⁴³

Military–Tactical Vulnerabilities.

MYM Strategy: Wage a multi-front war against Ethiopians, remaining present African forces, the TFG, and Westerners in general. The MYM continues to fight a multifront, multienemy, and multilevel war. Sustaining it may be difficult, especially if the TFG receives additional resources from the United States, the UN, and the AU. The MYM has a host of enemies, includ-
ing the TFG, the AU, moderate Islamic organizations, and neighboring countries whose forces are growing rapidly. In addition, AU and UN policymakers will be providing the TFG with an information operations capability in addition to advisors on nation-building. With the increase in external resources and committed TFG leadership, the MYM’s ability to recruit and resource its struggle will be limited. In the last few months, U.S. administration and AU leaders have committed themselves to helping the TFG defeat the MYM. The MYM’s continued rejection of peace, coupled with an impatience by Somalia moderate and civic organizations, will undoubtedly result in the MYM’s loss of influence to an ever-growing TFG and its allies.44

Economic-Strategic Vulnerabilities.

MYM Strategy: Gain funding from international and local allies. While several high-profile members seem to be indoctrinated and have fought jihad in other theaters, economic incentives are rumored to be a major factor in MYM recruitment and sustainment of foot soldiers.45 Money is also important for providing local stability and buying new weapons. Given a local economy in ruins, MYM’s dependency on the overseas Somali diaspora makes it vulnerable to shortfalls in funding if this support were cut off at the source. Somali diaspora living in Western countries have provided funding to MYM, which, in turn, has been used to pay for weapons, salaries, and other resources.46 Western intelligence agencies and anti-terrorist organizations’ identification and neutralization of this support system could result in the MYM shifting dependency to the Somali population and political sup-
porters—such as Eritrea and other AQ affiliates. As noted earlier, the Somali population’s inclination for peace has largely alienated the MYM from this source of income.

Economic-Operational Vulnerabilities.

MYM Strategy: Get funding for MYM operations. One of the biggest vulnerabilities the MYM contends with is competition for resources with other Islamic organizations. The creation of the HI in January 2009 has had both positive and negative effects on the MYM. While elements of the HI can be counted on to provide manpower or reinforcements on a tactical level, the HI also competes with the MYM on a funding level. HI and MYM financial leaders both appeal to the local Somali public, but also to the Somali diaspora in Western countries. Perhaps even more difficult for the MYM, is the fact that the HI is composed of four organizations merged into one. Combined, their resources are likely to be sent to a larger organization with proven and established leadership. Finally, HI and MYM leaders support one another only as long as it serves the interests of their organizations, which clearly differ on long-term strategies. In a land where brothers fight each other, alliances are temporary and turning to the other side is not uncommon. Both TFG incentives and offers for influential positions may tempt HI leadership to side with President Sharif and his government.

Economic-Tactical Vulnerabilities.

MYM Strategy: Consolidate resources and support by clans affiliated with the MYM. The MYM’s base of sup-
port permeates from clans that provide both leaders and foot soldiers. Clans in Somali have for the most part attempted to remain neutral in the struggle for Somalia, switching sides, as either the MYM or the TFG/AU/ASWJ capture or recapture villages and towns. Clan elders and civic organizations continue to be interested in which group will bring basic services and peace to their people, not one which will enforce a particular form of sharia. The MYM’s continued violent attacks and persistence on the Taliban-style of sharia has alienated clans, forcing others to join the TFG or ASWJ. In the long run, MYM rule by fear has clan leaders willing to compromise and accept MYM regional civil administrations; however, acceptance of the MYM is balanced between surviving today and enduring for tomorrow.

DEFEAT MECHANISMS

Now that we have explained the MYM vulnerabilities and media strategy, we will provide recommendations on what efforts or capabilities may be leveraged in defeating or deterring the MYM and its erstwhile allies. We emphasize here that the instruments for defeating the MYM or limiting its influence do not have to be kinetic or military in nature, but can be diplomatic, economic, or persuasive. The following paragraphs provide examples of what instruments of national power/capabilities or organizations can support, defeat, or deter the MYM’s campaign. This manuscript will only provide examples of the diplomatic and informational aspects of the DIME vulnerabilities.
Diplomatic/Strategic.

- International community supports the TFG:
  - Efforts should be made by U.S. and UN strategic communication planners to highlight in Somalia that the TFG has gained the support of Islamic scholars, UN organizations, and other pan-Islamic and African organizations. This can be done by media, radio broadcasts, the internet, and grass-roots-level newspapers and pamphlets throughout Somalia.

Diplomatic/Operational.

- Moderate Islamist groups support the TFG:
  - Efforts should be made to provide material support to moderate Islamist groups and local clan leaders to counter economic, military, and informational advantages the MYM has gained within its areas of influence. These moderate groups need to be vetted by UN or regional organizations to ensure there is no corruption and fair distribution of material or funds.

Diplomatic/Tactical.

- Dispersed cellular organizational leaders:
  - Efforts should be made to negotiate with MYM leaders who seem to have disagreements with mainstream MYM leaders. Gestures of formal power, positions within the TFG parliament, humanitarian aid, or as-
sistance with the establishment of economic aid for their population may encourage these leaders to break away from hard-line MYM leaders.

Informational/Operational.

- Rifts in MYM leadership:
  - Efforts should be made to publicize and highlight the rifts that are materializing in MYM leadership. Third parties should introduce peace options to senior leadership within the MYM who appear tired of conflict and may seek compromise.

Informational/Tactical.

- Disregard/disrespect of Somali religious clerics/daily battle reports with no long-term effects:
  - Efforts should be made to consolidate and publicize the sermons, announcements, and public interviews of leading Somali ‘ulema who have supported the TFG. In addition, TFG and senior clerics need to denounce and issue fatwas against MYM leaders who assassinate clerical leaders. Holding meetings and conferences with religious leaders in Somalia or Yemen to develop peace strategies, and broadcasting outcomes and findings in support of peace, would limit or delegitimize the MYM’s violent campaign.
STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK

The 2010 *Quadrennial Defense Review* provides insight into what role the U.S. military will play in Africa.\(^5\)\(^2\) Echoing similar strategic objectives, General William Ward’s testimony before the Senate Armed Services Committee on March 9, 2010, acknowledged AFRICOM’s partnership with the AMISOM countries.\(^5\)\(^3\) Recognizing the limited extent of U.S. support to Somalia—that of supporting AMISOM forces preparing for their deployment into Somalia—raises the question, “Is supporting AMISOM forces enough to prevent the growth of Islamic fundamentalism in Africa?”

From a regional viewpoint, Somalia has been in the middle of U.S. efforts to counter the growing threat in Africa. AQ views Somalia as a stepping stone for submerging the rest of Africa into an Islamic caliphate. For nearly 20 years, Somalia’s economically depressed population has been caught in the center of inter- and intraclan battles, rotating Somali governments, and the worst humanitarian disaster to hit an African country in years. These conditions have made the country attractive to Islamic fundamentalist determined on making Somalia a safe haven for AQ—a haven where jihadists can hone their tactical skills and return to other areas within the Middle East where they can engage against the United States and its allies. Some analysts have even suggested that Somalia is becoming an unofficial al-Qa’ida exchange program.

Contrary to U.S. official public statements, Somali military leaders have publicly stated that the U.S. military is providing support in identifying and neutralizing MYM and AQ leadership.\(^5\)\(^4\) Regardless of the extent of U.S. military involvement there, what
the United States does in Somalia will have ramifications throughout the Horn of Africa, the greater African continent, and perhaps worldwide. Relying on AMISOM forces to assist the Somali government, while a positive gesture, has done little to degrade MYM capabilities and influence. Waiting too long and entrusting AMISOM forces to prevent expansion of the MYM and AQ will unnecessarily be putting neighboring countries and the world at risk. Strategic gambling on AMISOM to improve Somali government capabilities has yet to pay off. In March 2010, the UN pointed to the lack of progress and increased influence of the jihadists. Maybe a more aggressive strategy is needed to safeguard the region and world against this growing threat.

CONCLUSION

The existence of the MYM depends on many factors, including its ability to recruit soldiers, provide weapons of war to these soldiers, obtain support either abroad or at home, and continue to appeal to the Somali population. Its battles have been brilliantly executed, with hundreds of AU and TFG forces losing their lives or withdrawing from Somalia. That said, the MYM does have vulnerabilities, and this monograph provided an explanation of the SOT vulnerabilities, organized along the DIME model. In addition, this monograph provided examples of what actions the TFG or its allies could take in the diplomatic and informational areas, which are perhaps two of the easiest areas to implement immediately, given conditions on the ground. Not addressed in this monograph, due to time and length constraints, were the military and
economic aspects of the vulnerabilities. A coalition of UN, AU, TFG, and U.S. operational planners can further refine these vulnerabilities and apply operational capabilities, which could result in the limitation of MYM influence in Somalia and abroad.
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