American statesman, explorer, politician, author, adventurer, and father. Those with time will want to, as I have done, read Morris’s first two volumes on T. R., as each is as enjoyable as the third.

Reading back over what I have written I realize I forgot to mention that T. R. narrowly escaped death at the hands of an assassin when he was shot in the chest, point-blank, during a campaign stop in Chicago. Come to think of it there are many other things I would call to the reader’s mind, but rather than do so, best to close by simply strongly recommending the book as a gem to be read.

A First-Rate Madness: Uncovering the Links Between Leadership and Mental Illness
by Nassir Ghaemi

Reviewed by Charles Allen, COL (USA Retired), Professor of Leadership and Cultural Studies, US Army War College

The title of the book bends the quip about an iconic American leader, President Theodore Roosevelt, who was described as “having a second-rate mind, but a first-rate temperament.” Teddy Roosevelt, even with his quirkiness, seemed to have the right presence of mind to lead the nation into the changing environment and uncertainties of the dawning twentieth century. The author, Dr. Ghaemi, offers a provocative premise—individuals who experience mental illness are better suited to lead organizations, societies, and nations more so than “normal” people. He presents a counter proposition that individuals who are mentally healthy can be successful leaders in times of stability and certainty but fail during times of crisis.

The author has substantial credentials as practicing psychiatrist and director of the Mood Disorders Program at Tufts Medical Center, teaching faculty member at Harvard Medical School, and writer of numerous articles and books on mental illness. His charter seems an attempt to validate the approach that combines psychiatry with history (so-called psychohistory). The “hook” of the book and his approach are the links to the examination of senior leadership and discerning the traits of successful leaders. In this very readable work, the author effectively integrated his academic experience—in history, philosophy, medicine, and psychiatry—with a pragmatic application in an effort to identify leadership potential.

As is common in most texts in the leadership domain, the author asserts there are four characteristics required for successful leadership during crisis: realism, creativity, empathy, and resilience. Realism recognizes the brutal facts and challenges of the environment. Creativity generates other perspectives, potential methods, and innovative solutions to address emerging problems. Empathy provides an understanding of those people being led, partners, and adversaries. Resilience allows facing and overcoming obstacles—personal, organizational, and societal. Dr. Ghaemi posits these leadership characteristics
are more likely associated with individuals who experience mental illness and deemed to suffer from some form of “insanity.” The insanity is not psychosis or multiple personality, but the more commonly recognized diagnoses of mania, depression, and bipolar disorder. While lesser known, the author offers that hyperthymic (high extroversion, sociability, and energy) personality disorder is also characteristic of successful crisis leaders.

The book’s jacket is a composite of three American presidents—Abraham Lincoln, Franklin Roosevelt, and John F. Kennedy—which leads the reader to infer that they were all afflicted with some “madness.” The success of these leaders and others (William Tecumseh Sherman, Winston Churchill, Mahatmas Ghandi, Martin Luther King, Jr., etc.) examined in the text presents evidence that the consequences of the mental disorders made positive contributions to their performance in key leadership positions. Dr. Ghaemi focuses on a number of historical figures: national leaders (presidents, prime ministers, and dictators), military generals, as well as social and business leaders to support his case.

The author obviously did not personally observe or treat the subjects in the book, but he did have access to extensive medical records and notes, personal letters and journals, and public records. With those materials, he presents a reasonable four-step framework to diagnose the mental illness of each subject.

To contrast the leadership performance of mentally normal leaders, he appropriates the term “homoclites” and offers matched pairs case analyses of individuals in similar eras but with different environmental requirements. For example, Sherman is contrasted with George McClellan; Churchill with Neville Chamberlain; and Kennedy with Richard Nixon and Ronald Reagan. He also offers up President George W. Bush and British Prime Minister Tony Blair as homoclites who were unsuccessful leaders in times of national crises.

While interesting and provocative, the author is unconvincing in proving his two major propositions—that we need the “insane” to lead in crisis and “normal” leaders fail during crises. While the case study method can provide examples to illustrate both premises, it does not address equivocality and equifinality for the outcomes of success and failure. The historical studies of George Washington, Charles de Gaulle, Eisenhower, Mao, Margaret Thatcher, Golda Meier, Nelson Mandela, Muammar Gaddafi, and Saddam Hussein could easily challenge the insane and normal leader premise. In addition, the author makes assertions about contemporary leaders while their histories have yet to be written. Failing to follow his own methodology regarding historical figures, Dr. Ghaemi declares “normal” psychological profiles and diagnoses without benefit of medical records that he used as the validation of a previous leader’s mental health.

In spite of the book’s shortfalls, readers will readily accept its call to remove the stigma of mental illness. Our life experiences demonstrate there is a great deal of variance in the normal distribution of mental health—probably a flat rather than steep bell curve. The author effectively argues that mental illness if properly diagnosed and treated (by medical professionals and medication) should not preclude individuals from being contributing members of
society and among its leaders. By extension, those afflicted by cancer or other debilitating illnesses who survive (with the assistance of the medical profession, luck, and personal fortitude) are held in high esteem and the subject of many inspirational biographies.

Similarly, for the military, our wounded warriors demonstrate toughness and perseverance under formidable circumstance with afflictions not of their doing or choosing. Illness and injury—physical or mental—may serve as the crucible experience that develops character and builds confidence in the ability to meet and overcome obstacles. We have seen this with contemporary military leaders such as amputee Generals Fred Franks and Eric Shinseki from the Vietnam era to those in the post-9/11 era who acknowledge their post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) like serving Generals Carter Ham, David Blackledge, and Gary Patton. It would seem imprudent to exclude a proven leader, regardless of disability, from the opportunity to continue to serve others.

Command Culture: Officer Education in the U.S. Army and the German Armed Forces, 1901-1940, and the Consequences for World War II
by Jörg Muth

Reviewed by Henry G. Gole, author of General William E. DePuy, Preparing the Army for Modern War and the biography of Colonel Truman Smith, US Military Attaché in Hitler’s Germany, to be published by the University Press of Kentucky

Command Culture is a provocative book. It will probably elicit shrieks of outrage from some readers and grudging praise from others intimately familiar with both the US Army and the German Armed Forces. In brief—kurz um, as Muth would say in his native German—compares the German and American systems of selecting, educating, and promoting military officers from 1901 to World War II and finds the German system superior. He is particularly critical of American cadet training at West Point and officer education at the Command and General Staff College (CGSC) at Fort Leavenworth, Kansas. He much prefers the German emphasis on acculturation resulting in bonding called Kameradschaft.

This reviewer’s initial impression was that Muth is a brash young German academic freely and happily tossing intellectual hand grenades into the American Officers’ Mess. As one carefully studies his thesis and sources, however, it becomes apparent that Muth has done his homework. His impressive research ranged widely and plunged deeply into German and American archives and secondary sources. His 217-page narrative is supported at every turn by 95 pages with 977 endnotes.
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